

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

REGGIE D. COLE,
Plaintiff,
v.
CITY OF LOS ANGELES;
MARCELLA WINN; PETE
RAZANSKAS; and DOES 1-45,
Inclusive,
Defendants.

OBIE S. ANTHONY, III,
Plaintiff,
v.
CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,
Defendants.

OBIE S. ANTHONY, III,
Plaintiff,
v.
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. CV-11-3241-CBM (AJWx)
(Master File)

Consolidated Case Nos. EDCV 12-
01332-CBM (AJWx); and CV13-07224-
CBM (AJWx)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING
ANTHONY V. CITY OF LOS
ANGELES ET AL., CV 12-01332-
CBM(AJWx) WITH PREJUDICE
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION
AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

1 Upon consideration of stipulation of Plaintiff OBIE S. ANTHONY III and
 2 Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES (the "PARTIES"), the PARTIES having
 3 entered into a settlement and release agreement ("AGREEMENT") which fully and
 4 finally resolves *Anthony v. City of Los Angeles et al.*, No. CV 12-01332-CBM
 5 (AJWx) (the "LITIGATION"), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

6 1. The case captioned *Anthony v. City of Los Angeles et al.*, No. CV 12-
 7 01332-CBM (AJWx), and all named and fictitious defendants thereto, is hereby
 8 DISMISSED with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a),
 9 each party to bear its own fees and costs pursuant to the PARTIES' AGREEMENT.

10 2. Pursuant to the AGREEMENT and according to the terms of the
 11 AGREEMENT, the PARTIES have waived all claims against each other relating to
 12 and arising out of the LITIGATION.


13 3. As consideration for the dismissal of the LITIGATION with prejudice,
 14 the AGREEMENT provides for monetary payment to PLAINTIFF, which is being
 15 made on account of his physical injuries and physical sickness, as described more
 16 fully in the AGREEMENT.

17 4. Pursuant to the AGREEMENT and according to the terms of the
 18 AGREEMENT, the settlement of the LITIGATION does not affect the rights of the
 19 PARTIES with respect to the consolidated cases, *Cole v. City of Los Angeles et al.*
 20 (CV 11-3241-CBM) and *Anthony v. County of Los Angeles et al.* (CV 13-7224-
 21 CBM), which remain active.

22 5. The Court retains jurisdiction over the subject matter of the
 23 LITIGATION in the event that any dispute concerning the construction or
 24 enforcement of the AGREEMENT arises between the PARTIES.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: 4/3, 2015


 Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall
 United States District Court Judge